



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/965,514

09/25/2001

Aaron R. Kunze

10559-526001

3324

20985 7590 03/22/2007
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

HYUN, SOON D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2616

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/22/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/965,514

Applicant(s)

KUNZE ET AL.

Examiner

Soon D. Hyun

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

Regarding claims 1-17, the claimed invention is directed to a data structure to store information indicating whether a received data is dropped. That is, the data structure does not accomplish a practical application and does not produce "a useful, concrete and tangible result" (see Interim Guideline for Examination Patent Application for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility, Chapter II, section A).

3. Claims 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims 23-29, the claimed invention "a machine to perform operations" or "a machine-readable medium" in the preamble of each claim is non-statutory subject matter. Examiner suggests replacing them with "a computer readable storage medium encoded with a computer program" or "a computer readable storage medium encoded with computer executable instructions."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2616

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sawada et al (US 2002/0016858).

Regarding claim 8, Sawada et al (Sawada) discloses a method of routing a packet for a data routing device (a router 1000 in FIG. 10) comprising:

storing information (1201, 1202, 1203 in FIG. 12) in a routing data structure (a filtering table having routing entries 1101 in FIG. 11 and 12), wherein the information (discard flag in 1203) indicates that a packet having a predetermined destination address (any destination address in 1201) is to be dropped or discarded (paragraph 0123), i.e., a destination address in a destination address field in 1201 (e.g., 192.168.2.2) is a predefined non-forwarding destination address and is invalid for packet traveling between networks whenever the entry has Discard Flag in 1203.

Regarding claim 9, the filtering table comprises a routing table (FIG. 12).

Regarding claim 11 Sawada further discloses that a format for the destination address is defined by Ipv4 (paragraph 0112).

Regarding claim 13, refer to the discussion for claim 8.

Sawada discloses a method comprising providing a capability for a machine (a router 1000 in FIG. 10) to perform operations including:

comparing (paragraph 0123) the destination address of the packet with routing information (information in the entry 1201 and 1203) in a routing data structure, the routing information indicating that the packet either is to be routed or dropped (discarded); and

selectively routing the packet based on the routing information in the routing data structure, the selectively routing including dropping the packet if the destination address comprises a predetermined non-forwarding address.

Regarding claim 15, refer to the discussion for claim 11.

Regarding claim 18, refer to the discussion for claim 8.

Sawada discloses a packet routing system (FIG. 10) comprising:

memory means (a filtering table 1101 in FIG. 11 and 12) for storing a data structure comprising a destination address (1201) routing table having entries, wherein one entry (1203) contains an indication that a packet having a destination address that resolves to the one entry to be dropped;

processing means (a packet processor 1102 in FIG. 11) for receiving a packet having a destination address from a first network (FIG. 10), for checking the destination address against the destination address routing table, and for transmitting the received packet to a second network only if the received packet does not resolve to the one entry (paragraphs 1004 and 0116).

Regarding claim 19, the filtering table comprises a set of tables (1201, 1203, 1203).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, and 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada et al (US 2002/0016858).

Regarding claims 1, 14, and 23, refer to the discussion for claim 8. Sawada et al (Sawada) discloses a data routing apparatus (a router 1000 in FIG. 10) comprising:

a network interface (PHYS. IF 1002-1007) to receive a data packet;

a processor (a packet processor 1102 in FIG. 11) coupled with the network interface;

a routing data structure (a filtering table 1101 in FIG. 11 and 12) to store information indicating that the received data packet having a destination address (1201 in FIG. 12) is to be dropped (paragraph 0123).

However, Sawada does not explicitly teach a memory coupled with the processor (1102), the memory to instruct the processor to load the routing data structure as recited in the claims.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a memory contain a software (instructions) into the processor (1102) to implement the filtering procedure to take advantage of the using the software, and thus, the filtering table is loaded according to the instructions for determination of dropping.

Regarding claim 2, the filtering table comprises a routing table (FIG. 12).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Sawada does not explicitly teach whether the filtering table has a pointer to one entry (Forward/Discard Flag 1203 in FIG. 12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a pointer for the table entry to speed a searching procedure associated with entries in the table.

Regarding claim 5, Sawada further discloses that the filter table comprises a portion of an address field (1201 and 1202 in FIG. 12).

Regarding claim 6 and 25, Sawada further discloses that the filtering table comprises a network identifier (a network address) in a destination address (1201 in Fig. 12).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 7, 12, 16, and 22, Sawada does not teach that the filtering table comprises a deprecated directed broadcast address in the destination address entry. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate any kind of address including a deprecated directed broadcast address into the filtering table for the processor to implement the filtering.

Regarding claim 17, Sawada does not teach that dropped packets are counted.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to count the dropped packets for further management of the network, since the number of dropped packets could be a kind of statistics for system reliability and management.

Regarding claim 20, Sawada does not explicitly teach that the processor checks the destination address four bit at a time.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to check the destination address four bits at a time if no unexpected results can be seen from the use of four bits at a time.

Regarding claim 21, Sawada further discloses that a format for the destination address is defined by Ipv4 (paragraph 0112).

Regarding claim 24, Sawada does not teach that the filtering table has an entry to indicate a next hop address, since the packet processor (1102) sends the packet to a packet forwarding unit (1001) for further routing.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an entry for the next hop address if the packet processor should forward the packet to an associated PHYS. IF (FIG. 10).

Sawada does not explicitly teach whether the filtering table has a pointer to one entry (Forward/Discard Flag 1203 in FIG. 12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a pointer for the table entry to speed a searching procedure associated with entries in the table.

Sawada does not explicitly teach whether the filtering table has a value of negative one for the entry (Forward/Discard Flag 1203 in FIG. 12) to indicate the packet to be dropped.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a value of negative one if no unexpected results can be seen from the use of the value.

Regarding claim 26, Sawada further discloses that the network identifier identifies a subnet (FIG. 13).

Regarding claim 27, Sawada further discloses that a format for the destination address is defined by Ipv4 (paragraph 0112).

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Sawada does not teach that the filtering table comprises a deprecated directed broadcast address in the destination address entry. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate any kind of address including a deprecated directed broadcast address into the filtering table for the processor to implement the filtering.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/5/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1,8, 13, 18, and 23, Applicant argues that (Remarks page 14) that Sawada teaches that packet with a destination address can be forwarded in some cases while dropped in other cases using the same forwarding table with reference FIG. 15. Examiner disagrees. Sawada teaches that the table has a destination address entry with a discard flag, i.e., a packet having a destination address and a discard flag associated with the destination address is dropped. Examiner still believes that the destination address with a discard flag is a predetermined non-forwarding destination address and the destination address is invalid for packets traveling between networks, because the packet is dropped, i.e., a destination address has two types; the first type is a non-forwarding destination address if the table entry has a discard flag associated with the destination address and the second type is a forwarding destination address if the table entry has a forward flag associated with the destination address. Whether a destination address is the first type or the second type is predetermined by the table.

Regarding claims 7, 12, 16, 22, 28, and 29, Applicant argues (Remarks page 16) that Sawada fails to teach that the filtering table comprises a deprecated directed broadcast address in the destination entry.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate any kind of address including a deprecated directed broadcast address into the filtering table to control data flow (drop or forward).

For reasons as discussed above, Examiner believes that the claim rejection is proper.


Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon D. Hyun whose telephone number is 571-272-3121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


S. Hyun
3/8/2007


CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/13/07